

Destroying the superfluid state of fermionic atoms by the magnetic field in Feshbach resonance experiments.

G.M.Genkin*.

Physics Department and Center for Polymer Studies, Boston University , Boston, MA 02215.

Abstract

We show that the superfluid state (SF) of fermionic atoms exist only for the magnetic fields lesser than the critical magnetic field. This critical magnetic field is determined by the equality of the Zeeman energy splitting for atoms with different spin projections to the energy gap of fermionic atoms without a magnetic field. For magnetic fields usually using in Feshbach resonance experiments a SF state is lost because these fields are much more than the critical field. We show that the transition temperature T_c of the SF state decreases as the magnetic field increases.

03.75.Ss, 03.75.Kk

The trapping and cooling of gases with Fermi statistics has become one of the central areas of research within the field of ultracold atomic gases. Much progress has been made in the achievement of degenerate regimes of trapped atomic Fermi gases [1 - 5]. The major goals of studies of these systems is to observe a transition to a paired - fermion superfluid state. There has been considerable interest in achieving superfluidity in an ultracold trapped Fermi gas in which a Feshbach resonance is used to tune the interatomic attraction by variation of a magnetic field. The interactions which drive the pairing in these gases can be controlled using a Feshbach resonance, in which a molecular level is Zeeman tuned through zero binding energy using an external magnetic field. Via a Feshbach resonance it is possible to tune the strength and the sign of the effective interaction between particles. In result, magnetic - field Feshbach resonances provide the means for controlling the strength of cold atom interactions, characterized s - wave scattering length a , as well as whether they are effectively repulsive ($a > 0$) or attractive ($a < 0$). Therefore, the tunability of interactions in fermionic atoms provides a unique possibility to explore the Bose - Einstein condensate to Bardeen - Cooper -Schrieffer (BEC - BCS) crossover [6 - 8], an intriguing interplay between the superfluidity of bosons and Cooper pairing of fermions. A Feshbach resonance offers the unique possibility to study the crossover between situations governed by Bose - Einstein and Fermi - Dirac statistics. When the scattering length a is positive the atoms pair in a bound molecular state and these bosonic dimers can form a Bose - Einstein condensate; when a is negative, one expects the well - known BCS model for superconductivity to be valid.

In this paper, we show that the superfluid (SF) state of degenerate fermionic gases analogous to superconductivity exist only for magnetic fields $B < B_{cr}$. We show that this critical magnetic field which destroys the SF state is determined by the equality of the Zeeman energy splitting $\Delta_{Zee} = 2\mu_{mag}B$ for atoms with different spin (spin - up and spin - down) projections to the energy gap Δ_0 of fermionic atoms for $T = 0$ without a magnetic field. For values of the magnetic field usually using in Feshbach resonance experiments for cold fermionic atoms a SF state is lost because these fields are much more than the

critical field. For example, for ${}^6\text{Li}$ atoms [9] the Zeeman energy splitting is about [10] 76MHz where as $\Delta_0 < 20\text{kHz}(1\mu\text{K})$. Note that in conventional superconductors a superconductivity is lost for ferromagnetic phase [11] if the Zeeman energy splitting which is determined by the magnetization exceeds $\sqrt{2}\Delta_0$.

We consider an uniform gas of Fermi atoms with two hyperfine (spin - up $\uparrow$ and spin - down $\downarrow$) states in a magnetic field. Our starting model can be described by a Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} [(\eta_p + \mu_{\text{mag}}B)a_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow} + (\eta_p - \mu_{\text{mag}}B)a_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow}^+ a_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow}] - \frac{g}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow}^+ a_{-\mathbf{p}\downarrow}^+ a_{-\mathbf{q}\downarrow} a_{\mathbf{q}\uparrow}, \quad (1)$$

with the coupling constant $g = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2|a|}{m}$, volume V . Here a coupling constant corresponds the attractive ($a < 0$) pairing interaction; $a_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}(a_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^+)$ represent the annihilation (creation) operators of a Fermi atom with the kinetic energy $\varepsilon_p = \frac{p^2}{2m}$, and we use the notation $\eta_p = \varepsilon_p - \mu$, near the Fermi surface $\eta_p = v_F(p - p_F)$ where $v_F = \frac{v_F}{m}$ and μ is the chemical potential. The Zeeman energy in an external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ is $-\beta\sigma\mathbf{B}$, and corresponding terms for spins $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ are $\pm\mu_{\text{mag}}B$ where μ_{mag} is the atomic magnetic moment. In the Hamiltonian (Eq.(1)) we introduce the standard canonical transformation to the Bogolyubov quasiparticles

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow} &= u_p b_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow} + v_p b_{-\mathbf{p}\downarrow}^+, \\ a_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow} &= u_p b_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow} - v_p b_{-\mathbf{p}\uparrow}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the coefficients u_p and v_p are real, depend only on $|p|$. They are chosen from the condition [12] that the energy E of the system has a minimum for a given entropy. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \sum_{\mathbf{p}} (\eta_p + \mu_{\text{mag}}B)[u_p^2 n_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow} + v_p^2 (1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow})] + (\eta_p - \mu_{\text{mag}}B)[u_p^2 n_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow} + v_p^2 (1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow})] - \\ &\quad \frac{g}{V} [\sum_{\mathbf{p}} u_p v_p (1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow} - n_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow})]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Varying this expression with respect to the parameter u_p and using the relation $u_p^2 + v_p^2 = 1$, which the transformation coefficients must be satisfy, the condition for a minimum is $\frac{\delta E}{\delta u_p} = 0$. Using this condition for Eq.(3) we have the standard [12] equation for the uniform energy

gap

$$\frac{g}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{(1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow} - n_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow})}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \eta_p^2}} = 1, \quad (4)$$

where the energy gap

$$\Delta = \frac{g}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} u_p v_p (1 - n_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow} - n_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow}) \quad (5a)$$

and

$$u_p^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\eta_p}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \eta_p^2}} \right), v_p^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_p}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \eta_p^2}} \right), \quad (5b)$$

here the quasiparticle occupation numbers $n_{\mathbf{p}\alpha} = b_{\mathbf{p}\alpha}^+ b_{\mathbf{p}\alpha}$. The energy of the elementary excitations can be find [12] from the change of the energy E of the system when the quasiparticle occupation numbers are changing, i. e. by varying E with respect to $n_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow}$ and $n_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow}$.

Therefore, start from the equation

$$\delta E = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} [\varepsilon_{\uparrow}(p) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow} + \varepsilon_{\downarrow}(p) \delta n_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow}],$$

we have

$$\varepsilon_{\uparrow}(p) = \frac{\delta E}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow}} = \sqrt{\eta_p^2 + \Delta^2} + \mu_{mag} B, \quad (6a)$$

$$\varepsilon_{\downarrow}(p) = \frac{\delta E}{\delta n_{\mathbf{p}\downarrow}} = \sqrt{\eta_p^2 + \Delta^2} - \mu_{mag} B, \quad (6b)$$

The quasiparticle occupation numbers satisfy Fermi - Dirac statistics. They are defined by the Fermi distribution formula and the energy of the elementary excitations are determined also [11] by the magnetic field.

In the usual BCS gap equation (Eq.(4)) using cutting off [12] the logarithmic integral at same $\eta = \bar{\epsilon}$ we have the well - known result for the energy gap $\Delta_0(g)$ for $T = 0$ and $B = 0$

$$\ln \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\Delta_0(g)} = \frac{2\pi^2 \hbar^3}{g m p_F}. \quad (7a)$$

For $B \neq 0$, in general, the energy gap depends on the magnetic field $\Delta(g, B)$. The energy of the elementary excitations must be positive, therefore, if $\Delta < \mu_{mag} B$ there is a nonzero minimal value in the integral (Eq.(4)) $\eta_{p(min)} = \sqrt{(\mu_{mag} B)^2 - \Delta^2} > 0$. Using the table integral $\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} = \ln|x + \sqrt{x^2 + a^2}|$ for $\Delta < \mu_{mag} B$ we have in this case

$$\ln \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\mu_{mag} B + \sqrt{(\mu_{mag} B)^2 - \Delta^2(g, B)}} = \frac{2\pi^2 \hbar^3}{g m p_F}. \quad (7b)$$

By comparing Eqs.(7) the energy gap $\Delta(g, B)$ is determined by the equation

$$\mu_{mag}B + \sqrt{(\mu_{mag}B)^2 - \Delta^2(g, B)} = \Delta_0(g), \quad (8a)$$

or

$$\Delta(g, B) = \sqrt{\Delta_0(g)(2\mu_{mag}B - \Delta_0(g))}. \quad (8b)$$

This spectrum was obtained in Ref.[11] for the ferromagnetic phase of the conventional superconductors. The equation (8a) has a threshold at the value $2\mu_{mag}B_{th} = \Delta_0(g)$ for which this equation first has solutions for $B \succeq B_{th}$, and, accordingly, the energy gap $\Delta(g, B)$ has a solution only for $B \succeq B_{th}$. At the threshold the Zeeman splitting $\Delta_{Zee}^{th} = 2\mu_{mag}B_{th}$ is equal to the energy gap $\Delta_0(g)$, i. e. $\Delta_{Zee} = \Delta_0$. For $B < B_{th}$ the minimal value of η_p in the BCS gap equation equal to zero, i.e. $\eta_{p(min)} = 0$, therefore, for these magnetic fields we have the standard gap parameter $\Delta_0(g)$. Beyond the threshold $B > B_{th}$ there is $\eta_{p(min)} \neq 0$ and, therefore, the gap parameter is $\Delta(g, B)$. At the threshold itself $\Delta(g, B_{th}) = 0$ and $\eta_{p(min)}(B_{th}) = \frac{\Delta_0}{2\mu_{mag}}$.

The BCS superfluid state of a two component Fermi gas is encoded in a single BCS gap Δ . As a result, the energy gap for magnetic fields $\Delta_{Zee} < \Delta_{Zee}^{th}$ is $\Delta_0(g)$, and for magnetic fields above the threshold $\Delta_{Zee} \succeq \Delta_{Zee}^{th}$ the energy gap is $\Delta(g, B)$.

We consider the thermodynamic properties of Fermi atoms with two hyperfine states for magnetic fields above the threshold. In calculations it is convenient to start from the thermodynamic potential Ω , and the difference between the thermodynamic potential Ω_s in the superfluid state and the value in the normal state Ω_n at the same temperature [12] is

$$\Omega_s - \Omega_n = - \int_0^g \frac{\Delta^2(g_1)}{g_1} dg_1. \quad (9)$$

Changing in Eq.(9) from integration over dg_1 to that over $d\Delta$, and using Eq.(8b) we obtain the difference between the ground - state energies of the superfluid E_s and normal E_n states for magnetic fields $2\mu_{mag}B \succeq \Delta_0$, or $\Delta_{Zee} \succeq \Delta_0$

$$E_s - E_n = \frac{mp_F}{4\pi^2\hbar^3}(\Delta_{Zee} - \Delta_0)^2, \quad (10a)$$

for $\Delta_0 \preceq \Delta_{Zee} < 2\Delta_0$; and

$$E_s - E_n = \frac{mp_F}{4\pi^2\hbar^3} \Delta_0^2, \quad (10b)$$

for $\Delta_{Zee} > 2\Delta_0$. The positive sign of this difference $E_s - E_n > 0$ indicates that for magnetic fields $\Delta_{Zee} > \Delta_0$ the normal state has the lesser energy. For magnetic fields $\Delta_{Zee} < \Delta_0$ this difference is negative, and we have a BCS state. Therefore, our system passes from the BCS state to the normal state as the field increases to a critical value

$$B_{cr} = B_{th} = \frac{\Delta_0}{2\mu_{mag}}, \quad (11a)$$

i. e. a critical value of the Zeeman splitting Δ_{Zee}^{cr} is

$$\Delta_{Zee}^{cr} = \Delta_{Zee}^{th} = \Delta_0. \quad (11b)$$

By means of Eq.(11) we have

$$\frac{B}{B_{cr}} = \frac{\Delta_{Zee}}{\Delta_0}. \quad (12)$$

In Feshbach resonance experiments for fermionic atoms $\Delta_{Zee} \sim 80MHz$, $\Delta_0 \prec 20kHz$ that is why a SF state can not exist for these magnetic fields because of $B \gg B_{cr}$, or $\Delta_{Zee} \gg \Delta_0$.

For $B < B_{cr}$ there is a superfluid BCS state. The critical temperature T_c is determined by standard manner [12], and in the BCS gap equation (Eq.(4)) the quasiparticle occupation numbers are given by the Fermi distribution formula (Eqs.(6)). Following [12], we obtain the temperature dependence of the energy gap Δ near the transition point $T \preceq T_c$ for small magnetic field $\frac{\mu_{mag}B}{kT_c} \ll 1$

$$\ln \frac{\Delta_0}{\Delta} = (1 + \alpha) \left[\ln \frac{\pi T}{\gamma \Delta} + \frac{7\xi(3)}{8\pi^2} \frac{\Delta^2}{T^2} \right], \alpha = 2 \left(\frac{\mu_{mag}B}{kT_c} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Delta_{Zee}}{kT_c} \right)^2. \quad (13)$$

Hence, we see that the energy gap $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ at a temperature T_c

$$(kT_c)^{1+\alpha} = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\pi} \right)^{1+\alpha} \Delta_0 \Delta^\alpha. \quad (14)$$

Note that our calculation (Eq.(14)) is valid for a small energy gap $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, but a nonzero gap $\Delta \neq 0$. For $B = 0$ we have the well - known expression for the critical temperature $kT_c = \frac{\gamma}{\pi} \Delta_0$, $\frac{\gamma}{\pi} = 0.57$; however, for $B \neq 0$ the critical temperature T_c decreases.

In summary, we show that the superfluid state of fermionic atoms is destroyed by the magnetic field. Due to the Zeeman energy splitting for atoms with different spin projections the superfluid state exists only for the magnetic fields lesser than the critical magnetic field. As the magnetic field increases the transition temperature T_c of the superfluid state decreases. The critical magnetic field is determined by the equality of the Zeeman energy splitting to the energy gap without a magnetic field. For the magnetic field greater than the critical field the energy gap depends on the magnetic field, however, for these magnetic fields the normal state has the lesser energy than the superfluid state. For values of magnetic fields usually used in Feshbach resonance experiments a SF state is lost because these fields are much more than the critical field.

I thank H. E. Stanley for encouragement, J. Borreguero for assistance.

REFERENCES

* Electronic address: ggenkin@argento.bu.edu.

- [1] B. Demacro and D. S. Jin, *Science* **285**, 1703 (1999).
- [2] A. G. Truscott, K. E. Strecker, W. I. Mc Alexander, G. Partridge, and R. G. Hulet, *Science* **291**, 2570 (2001).
- [3] F. Schreck, L. Khaykovich, K. L. Corwin, G. Ferrari, T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, and C. Salomon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 080403 (2001).
- [4] S. R. Granade, M. E. Gehm, K. M. O' Hara, and J. E. Thomas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 120405 (2002).
- [5] Z. Hadzibabic, S. Gupta, C. A. Stan, C. H. Schunck, M. W. Zwierlein, K. Dieckmann, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 160401 (2003).
- [6] E. Timmermans, K. Furuga, P.M. Milonni, and A. K. Kerman, *Phys. Lett. A* **285**, 228 (2001).
- [7] M. Holland, S. M. F. Kokkelmans, M. L. Chiofalo, and R. Walser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 120406 (2001).
- [8] Y. Ohashi and A. Griffin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 130402 (2002).
- [9] T. Bourdel, L. Khaykovich, J. Cubizolles, J. Zhang, F. Chevy, M. Teichman, L. Tarruell, S. M. F. Kokkelmans, and C. Salomon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 050401 (2004).
- [10] Note that for bosonic atoms ^{85}Rb the Zeeman energy splitting is about 536MHz (the JILA experiments, E. A. Donley *et. al.*, *Nature* (London) **417**, 529 (2002), the dependence of the Zeeman splitting on the magnetic field is $\hbar^{-1} \frac{\delta \Delta_{Zee}}{\delta B} = 34.6 \frac{\text{MHz}}{\text{mT}}$ and the position of the Feshbach resonance is $B_0 = 15.49\text{mT}$).
- [11] A. A. Abrikosov, *Fundamentals of the theory of metals* (North - Holland, New York, 1988), Chapter 21.

[12] See, for example, E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Statistical Physics*, Part 2 (Pergamon Press, New York, 1980). 1964),